



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER
OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 08/571,091 | 12/12/95 | IRGANG | |

08/571,091 12/12/95 IRGANG EXAMINER M 112-45497

JOHN H SHURTLEFF
140 S DEARBORN ST
SUITE 411
CHICAGO IL 60603 A1M1/0722 PREITSCH, N

ART UNIT PAGE NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 1108

07/22/96

Please find a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.
Commissions of Patents and Trademarks.

telephonic
Responsive to /Communication Filed July 9, 1996.

The enclosed is a correct copy of a reference relating to the last
Office action. The correction is indicated below.

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE OF THREE(3) MONTHS SET ON SAID OFFICE ACTION
IS RESTARTED TO BEGIN WITH THE DATE OF THIS LETTER.

Part 1 - Correct Reference Citation

by _____
Examiner

Part 2 - Correct Reference Furnished

4,440,875 Kortbeek et al

Comprehensive Organic Chemistry, Ed: J.C. Bailar, Jr.

by Margaret W. Stevens
Reference Order Center

Margaret W. Stevens
Supervisory Legal Instrument Examiner
Group 1100

| | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 08/571,091 | Applicant(s) Irgang et al. |
| | Examiner Nadine Preisich | Group Art Unit 1106 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nadine Preisich (3) _____
 (2) _____ (4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 9, 1996

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed:

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

*references not included in mailed office action
-office action mailed, June 27, 1996*

- office action will be remailed with references attached

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.